9. The additional directions in the last codicil as to the management of the library did not revoke the prior bequests.

The "additional directions in the last codicil" were, first, an authority to spend all the estate, except a certain reserve fund, in the erection of the library and bookcases; and second, to publish editions of the testator's works.

It is difficult to see how either of these is a revocation of the will.

But the first clause of these "additional directions" is capable of a misconstruction which a few words can set right.

The clause is as follows:-

"I have given and devised the greater part of my estate to my executor for the purpose of erecting for the Library Company of Philadelphia a building not only large enough to contain their present books, but also their probable increase for many years to come. Now, as I do not desire that the Library Company shall have an income greater than is required to provide for the legitimate (not a competing) increase of the library and their current expenses (not to be so large as to invite extravagance and waste), for which purposes the sums to be set apart to secure the legacies and annuities given by my said will and testament will be sufficient, I hereby authorize and direct my said executor to expend the whole remainder of my estate in the purchase of a lot and the erection of the Library building, construction of bookcases, &c., leaving the said company only an income sufficient to defray the ordinary and strictly appropriate expenses of such an institution."

Now as to this-

I. If, indeed, the testator thought only of that particular institution, "The Library Company of Philadelphia,"