fusicient Manucaptors, and that cannot be unless there be two at the least: And (as me seemeth) it may well be collected by the Wook in 33 E. 3. and 36 E. 3. for there it is said. Ils sont ses gardens & ils rendra del escape, and again one such Manucaptoz: Wut here may a Question arise, How often foz one Offence a Man may be let to Bail!

## CHAP. IX.

How often for one Offence a Man may be let to Bail.

If a Man be imprisoned, indiked, or appealed for any Offence, for the which he is bailable, and is accordingly let to Bail, and afterwards make Default, and doth not ap= pear according to the Condition of his Wail and his Mainprizers Recognizance, and afterwards is arrested and apprehended again, in this Case a Justice of Peace may deny him Bail. And that the Law should be so, it is probed by the Book-case 2 H. 4. fol. 24. by the Opinion Mainprize. of all the Justices, where it is said in the like Case, Que il ne serra my per Mainprize apres.

But because it may fall out, that to be let to Bail, may be denied to him that is bailable by the Law, it is good to see what Remedy the Law hath provided for the Prisoner so de= tained in Pzison, to be let to Bail.

## CHAP. X.

What Remedy a Man in Prison, and bailable by the Law, hath to be let to Bail.

TE that is imprisoned for any Offence, whereof he is bailable by the Naw, may have a Mrit de Manucaptione, directed to the Sherist of the came County, that he shall take Sureties of him to appear, and to let him at large; which Wirit in divers Forms, and in special Cases appeareth in the Register.

If a Man be wrongkully detained in Prison, he may have a Atrit De Homine replegiando, which doth also appear in the Register.

It appeareth by Brackon, that in his Time there was a Afrit in Ace for this Purpose, which was called a Writ De odio & Atia, touching which the Words be these: Sed cum iniquum est quod innocentes, sieut illi qui criminosi non sunt diu inclusi derinen' in carcere, ideo ad lachrymosam querelam parentum & amicorum de gratia Domini Regis sieri solet Inquisitio, urrum hujusmodi imprisonati de morte hominis culpabiles essent de morte illa, vel de hujusmodi inquisitione nullum debere derogari, and there setteth down the Words of the Writ.

Row it is to be understood, that at that Time when Brackon wzit, and so long Time after, the Sherisk by Atrit oz Commission to him directed, used to take Securities and Judgments of Murther and Felony, and to hold Pleas of the Crown, which afterwards being denied unto him by the Statute of 2 E. z. ca. 4. as I take it, de Odio & Atia, hath lost his Force.

But here a Question may be demanded, whether a Man committing any Offence upon the Dea, oz within the Jurisdiktion of the Admiralty; foz which, if the same had been committed upon the Land, he might have been bailed: Whether the Commissioners of the Admiralty may let him to Wail, oz no, either befoze oz akter Indikment!

## CHAP. XI.

In what Cases the Commissioners of the Admiralty may let Prisoners to Bail, either before or after Indictment.

PD first I take it (sine præjudicio melioris sententiæ) that the Commissioners of the A Admiralty cannot let to Bail any Persons impzisoned koz any Osence, oz koz Sulpicion of any Offence committed upon the Sea, or within the Jurisdiction of the Admiralty, before the Person so imprisoned be thereof indicted, although in that Case if the Felony or Offence had been done upon the Land, the Party had been bailable: And Jam induced to be of that Opinion for the Consideration following:

First it is to be agreed, That befoze the Statute 28 H. 8. ca. 13. All Murthers, Mobbe= ries, Piracies, and other Offences done upon the Sea, were determined by the Civil Law, which Law was in that Wehalf found very defestive: Fozasmuch as by that Law none of the faid Offences to committed, could be punished without the Cestimony of two competent