

un common de Pa-
stre, ou ove un rent
charge, & puis le te-
nant en le taile mo-
rust, ore il semble que
le terre est discharge
del common, & de le
rent, pur ceo que le
heire est eings de au-
ter estate en la terre,
que il fuit al temps
de le charge fait, en-
tant q il est en son
remitter per force de
le taile, & issint l'e-
state, q il avoit al
temps de le charge,
est ousterment defeat,
&c.

him, but not against his Issue; which Diversity is worthy of Observation, for it openeth the Reason of many Cases.

If the Heir apparent of the Disseisee disseise the Disseisor, and grant a Rent-charge, and then the Disseisee dieth, the Grantor shall hold it discharged; for there a new Right of Entry doth descend unto him, and therefore he is remitted.

So if the Father disseise the Grandfather, and granteth a Rent-charge, and dieth; now is the Entry of the Grandfather taken away; if after the Grandfather dieth, the Son is remitted, and he shall avoid the Charge. So as where our Author putteth his Example of a Fee-tail, it holdeth also in Case of a Fee-simple.

Un common de Pasture, ou un Rent charge, &c. Here Littleton put-
teth his Case of Things granted out of the Land. But what if the Issue at full Age by Deed
indented, or Deed-poll, make a Lease for Years of the Land, and after by the Death of Ce-
nant in Tail he is remitted, whether shall he avoid the Lease, or no? And it is holden he
shall not, because it is made of the Land itself, and the Land is become by the Lease in an-
other Right than it is in a Case of a Grant of a Rent-charge, which I gather out of our
Author's own Words in another Place.

La terre est discharge del Rent, &c. Littleton doth add these two Words
materially, because the whole Grant is not thereby avoided, but the Land discharged of the
Rent-charge; for the Grantee shall have notwithstanding a Writ of Annuity, and charge the
Person of the Grantor.

Sect. 661.

**Item un prin-
cipal cause pur
que tiel heire en les
cases avantdits, & au-
ters cases sembla-
bles, terra dit en
son remitter, est pur
ceo q il ny ad aucun
person envers q il
poit suer so brief de**

**Also a principal
Cause why such
Heir in the Cases afore-
said, and other like
Cases, shall be said in
his Remitter, is for
that there is not any
Person against whom
he may sue his Writ of
Formedon. For against**
T r r

**UN principal cause
pur que, &c.**

And of this Opinion is [a]
Littleton in our Books.

**Il nad aucun person
envers que, &c. si come il
avoit loialment recover
mesme la terre vers un
auter, &c.** Here it is to be
understood, that regularly a
Man shall not be remitted to a
Right

&c. The Reason is, because
the Grantor had not any Right
of the Estate in Tail in him
at the Time of the Grant, but
only the Estate in Fee-simple
gained by the Feoffment, which
(as Littleton here saith) is
wholly defeated. And the
State of the Land out of
which the Rent issued being
defeated, the Rent is defeated
also.

But if Tenant in Tail
make a Lease for Life, where-
by he gaineth a new Reversion
in Fee, so long as Tenant for
Life liveth; and he granteth a
Rent-charge out of the Rever-
sion, and after Tenant for
Life dieth, whereby the Gran-
tor becometh Tenant in Tail
again, and the Reversion in
Fee defeated; yet because the
Grantor had a Right of the
Entail in him, cloathed with a
defeasible Fee-simple, the Rent-
charge remaineth good against

3 Co. 5. b. Hob. 45.

11 H. 7. 21. Edrich's
Case. Mo. 319.
Ante 345. a. 278. a.

33 H. 8. Dyer 51. b.
422. 278. a.

Vide Sect. 289.
Mo. 126.

Lib. 2. f. 35. b.

[a] 12 E. 4. 20.
41 E. 3. 18. 11 H. 4.
50.

6 Co. 58. b.