Brother, and the young-

est Brother might con-

vey his Title of Discent

Ante 120. b. 9 Co. 104. Plowd: 374. a. 375. a. Cro. El. 896. Noy 45. Dyer 3. b. 133. a.

[a] 26 H. 8. cap 13. 33 H. 8. Ca. 20, 5 E. 6. cap it. Staundf. Pl. Coron. 18.

rum's Cafe. [c] Vide devant, Sect. 690. Vide 1. 3. tol. 5. Cuppledick's. Gafe & fo. 94, 97, 106. Li. I. f. 62. 52, 74, 77. Lib. 6. to. 41, 42. 11. 10. to. 37. Mary Portington's Case. [d] 38 H. 8. Taile Br. 41. Pl. Com. tol. 555. 29 H. 8. Dyer 52. [e] 34 H. 8. cap 10.

without Mue, this thall bar the second Son; foz the Remainder descended to the eld=

If Tenant in Tail be disseised, or have Right of Ac=

by the middle Brother. scent y le muines. tion, and the Cenant of the Rand leby a Fine with Proclamations, and five Pears pals, the

donque le puisne

frere puissoit convep-

er son title de de=

Right of the Estate tail is barred. [a] Ik Cenant in Tail in Possession, oz that hath a Right of Entry, be attainted of High Treason, the Estate-tail is barred, and the Land is forfeited to the King; and none of these were Wars when Linderon wisotc. A lineal Marranty and Assets was a War to the Estates tail when Liccleson wrote, whereof indre Call be said hereafter.

[6] iz E. 4. 19. Talta- [6] A Common Recobery with a Moucher over, and a Judgment to recover in Watue, was a War of the Estate-tail when Liceleron wrote; [c] And of Common Recoberies there be two Dozts, viz one with a fingle Moucher, and another with a double Noucher, and that is moze common and moze sake; there may be moze Mouchers over.

[d] If the King had made a Gift in Cail, and the Donce had suffezed a Common Recovery. Capel's Case, li.2. fo. 16, this should have barred the Estate=tail in Littleron's Time, but not the Reversion of Remain= der in the King. And so if such Donce had levied a Fine with Proclamations after the Sta= tute of 4 H. 7. this had barred the Estate-tail, although the Reversion was in the King. [e] But fince Littleson wzote, a wommon Recobery had against Tenant in Tail of the King's Gift, or such a Kine levied by him, the Reversion continuing in the Crown, is no War to the Estate=tail by the Statute of 34 H. S. And where the Wozos of the Statute be (whereof the Reversion or Remainder, at the Time of such Recovery had, shall be in the King,) these ten Things are to be observed upon the Construction of that Alt.

First, That the Estate=tail must be created by a King, and not by any Subject, albeit the King be his Heir to the Beversion; foz the Pzeamble speaks of Gifts made to Subjetts, and none can have Subjetts but the King; and also in the Pzeamble it is said (foz Service done to [f] Trin. 23 Eliz. inter the Kings of the Realm) and the Wody of the Alt referreth to the Pzeamble. [f] And there-Dively & Alhton resol- foze if the Duke of Lancaster had made a Gist in Tail, and the Reversion descended to the King, ved in the Court of pet was not the Estate=tail restrained by that Statute; and so of the like.

Secondly, If the King grant over the Reverlion, then a Recovery suffered will bar the

Estate=tail, because the King had no Reversion at the Time of the Recovery.

Thirdly, Is the King make a Gift in Tail, the Remainder in Tail, oz grant the Reversion in Tail, keeping the Reversion in the Crown, a Recovery against Tenant in Tail in Posses= Con, Chall neither bar the Estate=tail in Possession by the express Purview of the Statute, nor by Consequence the Estate in Remainder oz Reversion; foz that the Reversion oz Remainder cannot be barred, but where the Estate-tail in Possession is barred.

Fourthly, If a Subjekt make a Gift in Tail, the Remainder to the King in Fee, albeit n an's Case, li. 2. fo. 52. the Mozds of the Statute be (whereof the Reversion oz Remainder of the same, &cc.) pet see= ing the Estate in Tail was not created by a King, as bath been said, the Estate-tail may be barred by a Common Recovery.

Fifthly, If Prince Henry, Don of Henry the Sebenth, had made a Gift in Tail, the Bemainder to Henry the Sebenth in Fee, which Remainder by the Weath of Henry the Sebenth had descended to Henry the Eighth, so as he had the Remainder by Discent; yet might Tenant

in Tail, for the Cause aforesaid, bar the Estate-tail by a Common Recovery.

Sixthly, The Mozd (Remainder) in the Statute is no vain Mozd, for the Mozds of the Pzeamble be, The King hath giben oz granted, oz otherwise pzobided to his Servants and Dubjekts. The Mozd (Rebersion) in the Wody of the Ak, hath reference to these Mozds (giben or granted;) and (Remainder) hath reference to these Mords (otherwise provided.) As if the King in Consideration of Money, oz of Asturance of Land, oz foz other Considera= tion by May of Provision, procure a Subjett by Deed indented and involled, to make a Gist in Tail to one of his Derbants and Subjekts foz Recompence of Derbice, oz other Conside= ration, the Remainder to the King in Fee, and all this appear of Record; this is a good Provision within the Statute, and the Tenant in Tail cannot by a Common Recovery bar the Estate=tail. So it is if the Remainder be limited to the King in Tail; but if the Remain= der be limited to the King for Pears or for Life, that is no such Remainder as is intended by the Statute, because it is of no Remainder of Continuance, as it ought to be, as it appeareth by the Pzeamble, and it ought to have some Affinity with a Reversion, where with it is joined.

Debenthly, There a Common Recovery cannot bar the Estate-tail by Force of the said Statute, there a Kine levied in Kee, in Tail, foz Lives, oz Pears, with Proclamations according to the Statutes, chall not bar the Estate-tail, or the Issue in Tail, where the Reversion or Remainder

Wards. Lib. 2. fol. 15, & 16. in Wiseman's Case. Lib. 8. fol. 77, 78. the Lord Stafford's Case.

Lib. 2. fo. 15, 16, Wise-(holmley's Cafe.

Mo. 115. 2 Co. 15. b. I Cro. 430.

Lib. 2. fo. 16. Wiseman's Cafe.