

spondence about which you have been asked, that you have seen?

A. I have no particular recollection of any of it.

D. LEWIS.

Sworn and subscribed before me,

RICHARD S. HUNTER, *Examiner*.

JAMES S. BIDDLE, sworn.

Q. The minutes of the meetings of the Stockholders show that Judge King and yourself were appointed tellers to receive the vote on the resolutions to be submitted to the Stockholders at their meeting on Tuesday, October 19, 1869. Please state what were the voting tickets then submitted to the Stockholders, and produce and identify the same.

[Ex. No. 20.] A. That is the only ticket voted upon. I presume this is what the question calls for. (Ticket produced and marked Exhibit 20.) Each voter put *aye* or *no* against each of the resolutions.

Q. Please state also what, from your knowledge, was the effect of the vote of the Stockholders as cast at that meeting with respect to any proposed change of site of the Library Building or removal of its present collection of books?

(Question objected to because it asks for the opinion of a witness, and not for a statement of facts.)

A. It was very clear that a very large majority expressed a desire more or less emphatic, that the entire Library should not be removed to Broad and Christian Streets. Before the election there were two printed circulars issued, one by those in favor of accepting the Rush legacy, the other by those whom, for convenience, may be called rejectors. These are two of the original circulars.

[Ex. No. 21a, 21b.] (Circulars produced and marked Exhibits 21a, 21b.)

The acceptors, as will appear by the circular, urged their friends to vote *aye* on the first four resolutions, and *no* upon the fifth. The rejectors urged their friends, as will appear

